Antiangiogenic Therapy for Advanced Gastric Cancer - Update for Oncologists

Gastric cancer is a highly aggressive disease that continues to threaten public health throughout the world. Globally, more than 950,000 new cases were estimated in 2012, along with more than 720,000 deaths, making gastric cancer the fifth most common cancer worldwide and the third leading cause of cancer death. The greatest burden of gastric cancer falls on Eastern Asia, where about half the world’s cases occur. The American Cancer Society estimates that for the year 2015, about 24,590 cases of gastric cancer will be diagnosed in the United States and that gastric cancer will cause about 10,570 deaths.²

The prevalence of gastric cancer has declined in recent decades, possibly as a result of increased access to refrigeration.³ Nevertheless, increases in obesity and gastroesophageal reflux disease, which are risk factors for cancer of the gastroesophageal junction, have added to the occurrence of gastric cancer in Western Europe and North America.⁴ Recent years have shown a trend toward greater incidence of noncardia gastric cancer among American Caucasians between the ages of 25 and 39 years⁵ and in the same age group in other Western countries.⁶

While affecting a single organ, gastric cancer is a heterogeneous disease. Epidemiological, pathological, and clinical data point to three main subtypes: proximal intestinal, distal intestinal, and diffuse.⁷ These subtypes evolve by different genetic pathways and vary in regard to histopathology, epidemiology and outcome. Proximal intestinal gastric cancer is located in the gastric cardia, which can extend to the gastroesophageal junction. In these tumors, carcinogenic inflammation is often caused by gastric acid reflux.⁸,⁹ Distal intestinal tumors occur between the body of the stomach and the pylorus. Chronic gastritis is often present, and this is typically a result of Helicobacter pylori infection. Diffuse gastric cancer may occur in any part of the stomach. Histopathology shows no gastritis and reveals a pattern of infiltration with the poorly differentiated signet ring cell type. Unlike intestinal gastric cancer, which emerges from a multistep carcinogenic process, diffuse gastric cancer is believed to arise de novo and is associated with downregulation of the CDH1 gene. The heterogeneity of gastric cancer is an important concern in the development of new treatments, because the three subtypes express different biomarkers and therefore have different potential therapeutic targets.

Environmental, nutritional, and genetic factors have been implicated as contributors to gastric cancer.¹⁰ H. pylori, which infects roughly half the world’s population, is classified as a type 1 carcinogen by the International Agency for Research in Cancer.¹¹ After infection, H. pylori enters the gastric mucosa and triggers a cascade of inflammatory steps that may lead to cancer. Persistent infection with H. pylori is associated with the development of both intestinal-type and diffuse-type gastric cancers.¹² Perhaps surprisingly, H. pylori might actually be protective for proximal stomach cancers, which exhibit a different epidemiology and a higher prevalence in Caucasian males.¹³ Other risk factors for gastric cancer include smoking, obesity, and diets high in sodium and processed meats.¹⁴ In contrast, fruits, vegetables, and micronutrients show a protective effect. A familial form of gastric cancer exists.¹⁵

Targeted Therapies

The standard of care for localized cancers of the upper gastrointestinal tract is surgical resection. However, most patients present with regional lymph node involvement or metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis. Gastric cancers are highly aggressive malignancies, and the prognosis for patients with metastatic or inoperable disease is poor. Median survival is less than one year for patients treated with standard cisplatin/fluorouracil-based chemotherapy.¹⁶ In response to this bleak scenario, several novel therapy targets are being explored, some of which have already been shown to have therapeutic potential. These targets capitalize on advances in the understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the growth and development of gastric tumors.

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) was the first biomarker to emerge as a successful target in gastric cancer. HER2 mediates two major signaling pathways: the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway and the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway, and thus has critical roles in cell growth, survival, and differentiation.¹⁷ It correlates with poor clinical outcomes in breast, ovarian, prostate and other cancers, though data are inconsistent regarding its prognostic impact in gastric cancer. About 15% to 20% of patients with gastric cancer are positive for HER2 amplification or overexpression.¹⁸ In gastric cancer, the extent of HER2 overexpression varies with the location of the carcinoma, with higher expression in the gastroesophageal and proximal areas compared to the distal parts of the stomach. Moreover, HER2 overexpression and amplification seem to be most pronounced in the intestinal form of gastric cancer.¹⁹

Trastuzumab

Trastuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody, targets the extracellular binding domain of the HER2 receptor. It has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) since 1998 for the treatment of breast cancer. The efficacy of trastuzumab in gastric cancer was demonstrated by the trastuzumab for gastric cancer (ToGA) investigation, an international, open-label phase III trial.²⁰ Participants in ToGA showed overexpression of HER2 in treatment-naive metastatic or locally advanced unresectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma. The study’s two cohorts received chemotherapy (cisplatin plus fluoropyrimidine), either alone (control) or with intravenous trastuzumab (6 mg/kg after a one-time loading dose of 8 mg/kg). The authors reported a 2.7-month improvement in median overall survival (OS) for patients who received trastuzumab (median OS 13.8 months compared with 11.1 months). Response rate, time to progression, and duration of response were significantly higher in the trastuzumab plus...
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**Targeting Tumor Angiogenesis**
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Bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody, is the first clinically validated antiangiogenic cancer drug. It has been evaluated for use in gastric cancer in combination with chemotherapy in both front-line and relapsed settings. Researchers at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center assessed bevacizumab in two phase II studies of gastric cancer. In one study involving 47 chemotherapy-naïve patients with metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma, treatment with a combination of bevacizumab, cisplatin, and irinotecan yielded a response rate of 67% and a median time-to-progression (TTP) and median overall survival (OS) of 8.3 months and 12.3 months, respectively. The TTP achieved in this study represents a 75% improvement over historical controls.

Subsequently, the same researchers performed a single-arm phase II study of bevacizumab in combination with a modified regimen of docetaxel, cisplatin, and fluorouracil (mDCF). The mDCF regimen was developed to improve tolerability of the three-drug combination; it included a shortened de Gramont-like fluorouracil schedule to reduce mucositis and diarrhea, reduced bimonthly doses of cisplatin and docetaxel, and administration of cisplatin and docetaxel on separate days. The study enrolled 44 patients with previously untreated metastatic gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma (22 gastric, 20 gastroesophageal junction, and 2 esophageal) to receive mDCF plus bevacizumab 10 mg/kg every two weeks. Thirty-two patients were alive and progression-free at 6 months, and median 6-month progression-free survival (PFS) was improved to 79% from a historical rate of 43% with non-modified DCF. The overall response rate was 67% and the median PFS and OS were 12 months and 16.8 months, respectively. Notably, 37% of patients in the study remained alive at 2 years. Another interesting finding was that both PFS and OS were significantly diminished for diffuse-type gastric cancer.

A third phase II study evaluated bevacizumab in combination with docetaxel and oxaliplatin. This study enrolled 38 patients with previously untreated locally advanced or metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal to receive (7.5 mg/kg) in combination with docetaxel and oxaliplatin on day 1 of a 21-day treatment cycle. (The original planned bevacizumab dose of 15 mg/kg was reduced to 7.5 mg/kg after the occurrence of two gastrointestinal perforations in the first 5 patients.) The median PFS for the 38 eligible patients 6.6 months, while median OS was 11.1 months. Objective tumor responses were seen in 16 patients (42%), including 2 CR (5%) and 14 PR (37%).

Together, these studies provided the phase II experience needed to support an examination of bevacizumab in a larger phase III study called AVAGAST (AVAstin in advanced GASTric cancer). This international trial enrolled 774 treatment-naïve patients with inoperable, locally advanced, or metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer from the Asia-Pacific region (49%), Europe (32%), and the Americas (19%). Patients were randomly assigned to receive capecitabine and cisplatin plus either bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg or placebo. The addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy failed to produce an improvement in OS, the trial’s primary endpoint. Median OS in the intent-to-treat population was 10.1 months for chemotherapy plus placebo and 12.1 months for chemotherapy plus bevacizumab (P=0.1002). Secondary endpoints, however, did show significant improvement in the bevacizumab arm compared with placebo, with a median PFS of 6.7 months versus 5.3 months (P=0.0037) and an overall response rate of 38%.
versus 29.5% (P=0.0121) for the bevacizumab and placebo arms, respectively.

A striking and not definitively explained finding of AVAGAST is that different outcomes were seen in patient populations from different geographic regions. European and American patients had shorter PFS and OS than Asian patients but derived more benefit from the addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy. In patients from the Asian subcontinent, bevacizumab did not generate improvements in either PFS or OS with bevacizumab. These discrepancies might reflect differences in clinical presentation, prior treatment, or differences in the cancer subtypes involved.

AVAGAST included an analysis of a prespecified panel of tumor angiogenic factors to shed light on their utility as predictive biomarkers for anti-VEGF therapy. Tumor samples were analyzed at baseline for the markers VEGF-A, VEGFR-1 and -2, EGFR, and neuropilin (NRP), a coreceptor for VEGF-A. In addition, plasma samples were analyzed for levels of VEGF-A. One finding was that a low level of NRP expression in a tumor was associated with shorter OS in the placebo arm. Adding bevacizumab to chemotherapy seemed to mitigate this prognostic marker, as patients with low tumor NRP had a numerically improved OS treatment hazard ratio compared with those with high tumor NRP (HR 0.75 and 1.07 for low and high NRP, respectively). Patients with higher baseline levels of plasma VEGF-A appeared to benefit from the addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy. While this is an area of intensive research, there are presently no clinically validated biomarkers that can predict which patients will benefit from treatment with an antiangiogenesis agent.

Further information about bevacizumab in gastric cancer is emerging from a phase II/III trial now taking place in the UK (http://www.ctu.mrc.ac.uk/our_research/research_areas/cancer/studies/st03/).

The phase III Ramucirumab Monotherapy for Previously Treated Advanced Gastric or Gastro-Oesophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma (REGARD) study compared ramucirumab to best supportive care in randomized second-line gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma patients. The study included 355 patients from 30 countries at 120 centers. The primary end point was overall survival. Secondary end points were progression-free survival and quality of life. Participants were randomly assigned to receive a placebo or ramucirumab 8 mg/kg every two weeks in conjunction with best supportive care.

The study results showed significant benefits for patients receiving ramucirumab. The median overall survival time was 5.2 months in the study group compared to 3.8 months for the control group, (P=0.042). The ramucirumab group also showed an advantage in progression-free survival, with 12-week progression-free survival of 40%, versus 16% for the control group. Overall toxicity in the ramucirumab group was low.

In response to these results, the US Food and Drug Administration approved ramucirumab in 2014 for use as a single agent in gastric and GEJ cancer after progression on a platinum or fluoropyrimidine-containing regimen. This is the first approval of a biologic agent in an unselected gastroesophageal population.

The REGARD study was followed by RAINBOW, a phase III trial conducted at 170 centers in 27 countries in North and South America, Europe, Asia, and Australia. The study group consisted of patients with advanced gastric or gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma and disease progression on or within 4 months after first-line chemotherapy (platinum plus fluoropyrimidine with or without an anthracycline). Patients were randomly assigned to receive ramucirumab plus paclitaxel or a placebo plus paclitaxel. Overall survival was significantly longer in the ramucirumab plus paclitaxel group than in the placebo plus paclitaxel group (median 9.6 months [95% CI 8.5-10.8] vs 7.4 months [95% CI 6.3-8.4], hazard ratio 0.807 [95% CI 0.678-0.962]; P=0.017). Based on these results ramucirumab has become the first targeted agent to be approved by the US FDA for advanced gastric cancer after prior chemotherapy.

### Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors

Another approach to targeting the VEGF pathway in addition to monoclonal antibodies is the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) that inhibit the VEGF receptor. TKIs that are now being explored in gastric cancer include sunitinib, sorafenib, pazopanib, axitinib, apanitin mesylate, and regorafenib.

Sunitinib was evaluated as a single agent in a phase II trial involving 78 patients with advanced gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer. In this trial, two patients had partial responses and 25 patients had stable disease for ≥26 weeks. Sunitinib has also been evaluated in combination with chemotherapy in a phase II trial that randomized 107 patients to docetaxel with or without sunitinib. The time to progression was not significantly different (3.9 months in the sunitinib arm versus 2.6 months for the comparison group), but there was an increased response rate of 41.4% compared to 14.3% with sunitinib.

Sorafenib showed positive results in a phase II study that evaluated it in combination with chemotherapy (median PSF of 5.8 months and median overall survival of 13.6 months). However, other studies have not matched these response rates.

---

**Ramucirumab**

Ramucirumab, a fully human immunoglobulin G1 monoclonal antibody, followed trastuzumab to become established as a biologic agent approved for the treatment of gastric cancer. Ramucirumab specifically and potently inhibits VEGF receptor-2 (VEGFR-2), which is considered the primary driver of angiogenesis within the VEGF family, by binding to its extracellular binding domain. It has demonstrated efficacy and tolerability in several studies.
Two trials are now evaluating pazopanib. The phase II PaFLO trial (FLO ± pazopanib as first-line treatment in advanced gastric cancer) is recruiting first-line advanced gastric cancer patients to receive 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin with or without pazopanib. Another first-line phase II trial in the recruitment stage will add pazopanib to capecitabine and oxaliplatin in patients with advanced gastric cancer.

Another agent under investigation is axitinib (AG-013736), a substituted indazole derivative. Axitinib potently inhibits all known VEGFRs at subnanomolar concentrations. In studies with gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, axitinib inhibited cell proliferation and retarded tumor growth. In the same study, axitinib had a synergistic inhibitory effect when combined with 5-fluorouracil. The highest inhibitory effects occurred with the combination of axitinib and cisplatin, which produced an inhibitory ratio of >80% compared to control.

A phase I study assessed the administration of a combination of cisplatin/capecitabine and a standard starting dose of axitinib (5 mg twice/day) to patients with previously untreated advanced gastric cancer. Eight of the 12 patients studied had either a partial response or stable disease. The median response duration was 9.1 months, and median progression-free survival was 3.8 months. Adverse events in this study group were manageable.

Apatinib mesylate, another small-molecule TKI targeting VEGFR-2, has been investigated in a phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients with chemorefractory gastric cancer. In this study, 273 Chinese patients who had progressed on second-line therapy were randomly assigned in a 2:1 ratio to receive apatinib or placebo. Apatinib was associated with increased median overall survival (195 days with apatinib versus 140 days with placebo, HR = 0.71, P < 0.016) and improved progression-free survival (53 days vs. 78 days, HR = 0.44, P < 0.0001). The toxicity profile of apatinib was found to be acceptable.

Regorafenib, an oral agent that inhibits multiple kinases involved in angiogenesis, tumor microenvironment, and oncogenesis, has yielded positive results in an international phase II trial. Regorafenib was compared with placebo in patients with advanced esophageal-gastric carcinoma who had experienced failure of first- or second-line chemotherapy. Progression-free survival was significantly longer with regorafenib than placebo. Moreover, the tolerability of regorafenib was sufficient to warrant the initiation of a phase III evaluation.

**Side Effects of Antiangiogenic Agents**

Antiangiogenic agents are generally well tolerated and associated with fewer treatment interruptions and discontinuations due to cumulative toxicities than conventional chemotherapy. Nonetheless, VEGF is essential for the health and maintenance of numerous organ systems, and its pharmacological disruption can lead to off-target side effects. Most of the adverse effects of VEGF inhibitors are modest and manageable, but some have been associated with serious, life-threatening complications. Monoclonal antibodies and tyrosine kinase inhibitors used for VEGF inhibition have similar adverse effects that necessitate careful patient monitoring; these include hypertension, arterial thromboembolic events, proteinuria, wound healing complications, hemorrhaging, gastrointestinal perforation, and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome.

A recent meta-analysis involving 72 studies (n = 38,078) reporting on 11 different VEGF inhibitors added to data on adverse effects associated with VEGF inhibition treatment in cancer patients. The authors concluded that the risks of fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction, hypertension, arterial thromboembolism, and proteinuria were all higher among VEGF inhibitor recipients.

Increased blood pressure occurs in almost 100% of patients who take VEGF inhibitors, with a subset who develop severe hypertension. In most instances this can be managed with the administration of standard antihypertensive medications. A meta-analysis examined the overall incidence and relative risk (RR) of hypertension associated with ramucirumab. The authors addressed 11 studies with a total of 3,851 patients with multiple cancers. The overall incidence of all-grade hypertension was 20.0% (95% CI 15.0–26.0%) with 8.6% (95% CI 6.3–11.7%) being high-grade hypertension. The risk of developing hypertension was greater in ramucirumab-treated patients (RR for all grades 2.77, 95% CI 1.94–3.94, P < 0.001, RR for high-grade 3.58, 95% CI 2.45–5.23, P < 0.001).

In phase III trials of bevacizumab for metastatic colorectal cancer, grade 3 hypertension rates of 11–16% were reported; these are generally considered a benchmark for this agent. In the AVAGAST trial, the rate of grade 3 or greater hypertension was approximately 4% in both treatment arms. The true incidence of hypertension in clinical studies of bevacizumab, however, may vary considerably depending on the chemotherapy agents paired with bevacizumab and the hypertension criteria used. The underlying mechanism of hypertension in patients receiving antiangiogenesis therapy is thought to involve decreases in levels of nitric oxide in blood vessel walls due to VEGF inhibition, resulting in vasoconstriction. Anti-VEGF therapy also induces a functional decrease in the number of arterioles and capillaries. This effect may contribute to increased peripheral vascular resistance and elevated blood pressure.

Congestive heart failure (CHF) has been reported sporadically in clinical trials of bevacizumab for advanced solid tumors. A meta-analysis of five randomized controlled trials of bevacizumab involving 3,784 metastatic breast cancer patients reported a statistically significant increase in the incidence of clinically significant CHF among bevacizumab-treated patients (1.6%) compared with control/placebo (0.4%; RR = 4.74; P = 0.001). There were no apparent differences in CHF incidence between low- and high-dose bevacizumab. The value of this meta-analysis may be limited by differences in trial design, patient selection, and monitoring of cardiac function, as well as limited information about underlying cardiovascular risk factors.

A more recent phase II study evaluated cardiac safety of bevacizumab with and without trastuzumab with two docetaxel-based regimens in early breast cancer. At least one cardiac adverse event (congestive heart failure, cardiomyopathy, or left ventricular dysfunction) was reported in 26.1% of patients given docetaxel/dorocubin/cyclophosphamide (n = 92) and 17.6% of those who received docetaxel/carboplatin/trastuzumab (n = 34); there were no cardiac deaths. ≥ Grade 3 clinical CHF was observed in 4.3% in the docetaxel/dorocubin/cyclophosphamide plus bevacizumab stratum and 0% in the docetaxel/carboplatin/trastuzumab plus bevacizumab stratum. A ≥ grade 3 treatment-emergent adverse event (any kind) related to study treatment was observed in 59.8% in the TAC with bevacizumab and 52.9% in the TCH plus bevacizumab stratum. The researchers concluded that addition of bevacizumab to a docetaxel-based regimen with trastuzumab did not increase cardiotoxicity.

Other cardiotoxicity data pertaining to bevacizumab were reported in AVEREL, a phase III trial that assessed bevacizumab in combination with docetaxel and trastuzumab as a first-line therapy.
Gastrointestinal perforation is another worrisome adverse effect associated with antiangiogenic therapy. The mechanism of developing gastrointestinal perforation is unclear, but bevacizumab is associated with impaired wound healing\(^5\), \(^6\), \(^7\) that may be related to altered function of the gut microvasculature. In gastric cancer, when bevacizumab was administered with irinotecan and cisplatin, two patients developed a perforation and one patient had a “near” perforation (6% rate, 95% CI 1-18%).\(^8\) This prompted an evaluation of the incidence of gastrointestinal perforation in patients with metastatic gastric cancer receiving chemotherapy, which demonstrated a rate of perforation with chemotherapy alone of 1.1% (95% CI 0.5-1.9%).\(^9\) These findings were inconsistent with the subsequent phase II study of bevacizumab, and the incidence of gastrointestinal perforation was not increased in the group that received bevacizumab in the phase III random assignment AVAGAST study.\(^2\) In the phase II study of bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin and docetaxel, gastrointestinal perforation appeared to occur early in the course of therapy, which is similar to the pattern observed in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with bevacizumab.\(^3\)

Gastrointestinal perforation was addressed in a meta-analysis involving 26,833 patients from 33 randomized controlled trials.\(^4\) In this analysis, bevacizumab-containing therapy significantly increased the risk of developing all-grade (RR 3.35, 95% CI 2.35-4.79, \(P<0.001\)) and fatal gastrointestinal perforation (RR 3.08, 95% CI: 1.04–9.08, \(P=0.042\)). Risk differences did not correlate with bevacizumab dosage, treatment duration, treatment line, type of clinical trial, or median age. However, when stratified by tumor types, a significantly increased risk of gastrointestinal perforation with bevacizumab was observed in colorectal cancer (RR 2.84, 95% CI 1.43–5.61, \(P=0.003\)), gynecologic cancer (RR 3.37, 95% CI 1.71–6.62, \(P<0.001\)) and prostate cancer (RR 6.01, 95% CI 1.78–20.28, \(P=0.004\)). The risk of gastrointestinal perforation with bevacizumab was increased when bevacizumab was administered in conjunction with taxanes (RR 3.09, 95% CI 1.92–4.96, \(P<0.001\)) or oxaliplatin (RR 2.85, 95% CI 1.07–7.57, \(P=0.036\)).

**Conclusion**

A growing body of clinical data validates the use of antiangiogenic therapeutics, administered alone or with chemotherapy, in the management of advanced gastric cancer. Nevertheless, many challenges remain. A critical need exists to discover new biomarkers that can predict the efficacy and toxicity of targeted therapies. Strategies to curb the development of resistance are also needed. Clinical trials offer the best opportunities for patients with advanced gastric cancer to receive promising new therapies and help to further define the role of antiangiogenic agents in the management of advanced gastric cancer.
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